

From Scratch

Christopher Rosen
University of Colorado, Boulder

## String Beginnings

## From Dual Resonance to Unification

In the late 1960's, a phenomenological model of hadron physics emerges...

> An s-t symmetry in resonance mediated hadron interactions is realized in the topological equivalence of extended object diagrams:

As an example, consider interacting mesons...

## If Points [9]

If Strings



## String Beginnings

## From Dual Resonance to Unification

In the late 1960's, a phenomenological model of hadron physics emerges...

The classical string model can account for the empirically observed linear rise in spin when plotted against $M^{2}$...

$$
J(M)=\alpha_{0}+\alpha^{\prime} M^{2}
$$

Hadronic resonances with the same (internal) quantum numbers but different masses lie on line of constant slope-"Regge Trajectories".


Relationship between $s$ (mass squared) and $J$ (spin) for pions, from [1]

A rotating relativistic string predicts this relationship between mass and spin!

$$
J=\frac{1}{2 \pi \tau} M^{2} \text { where } \tau=\frac{1}{2 \pi \alpha^{\prime}} \approx 1 \mathrm{GeV}^{2} \text { is the string tension }
$$

## String Beginnings

## From Dual Resonance to Unification

In the late 1960's, a phenomenological model of hadron physics emerges...
...And recedes a decade later with the arrival of QCD.

The "Lund String Model" still finds use in modern particle physics--e.g. contemporary $p-p$ event generator PYTHIA uses it to fragment hadrons with reasonable success.
Could a quantized theory of strings make sense? Instead of treating the QCD flux tube as a string, make strings more (maximally) fundamental...


Modern string theory is born!

## Bosonic String Basics

Where the action's at...

## How should one describe the physics of strings?

Point Particle Primer:


A point particle traces out a curve in spacetime. Can parametrize $\left(\vec{x}(t) \rightarrow x^{\mu}(\tau)\right)$ the curve arbitrarily, must give the same physics.

For a massive particle, expect that the action is proportional to proper length of world-line:

$$
S=-m \int d s=-m \int_{\tau} \sqrt{-\eta_{\mu \nu} \dot{x}^{\mu} \dot{x}^{\nu}} d \tau
$$

With metric $(-,+,+, \ldots,+)$ and $\dot{x} \equiv d x / d \tau$. Rightmost equality makes so-called "reparametrization invariance" explicit. For massless particles, must try harder. Introduce a metric on the world line, $h_{\tau \tau}(\tau)$ (e.g. [2]), then a classically equivalent action is

$$
S=\frac{1}{2} \int d \tau\left(\frac{\dot{x}^{\mu} \dot{x}_{\mu}}{\sqrt{-h_{\tau \tau}}}-\sqrt{-h_{\tau \tau}} m^{2}\right)
$$

## Bosonic String Basics

Where the action's at...
How should one describe the physics of strings?


A one dimensional string traces a surface in spacetime. Again the surface can have arbitrary parametrization. Surface described by embedding function

$$
x^{\mu}(\tau, \sigma) \equiv X^{\mu}(\tau, \sigma)
$$

With analogy to the relativistic point particle, expect an action proportional to area of string "world-sheet".

$$
S_{s} \sim \int d A
$$

Need to find the Lorentz invariant area element...

## Bosonic String Basics

Where the action's at...
How should one describe the physics of strings?
Need to find the Lorentz invariant area element...


The $d v_{\alpha}^{\mu}$ span the transformed area element, and accordingly,

$$
d v_{i}^{\mu}=\frac{\partial X^{\mu}}{\partial \alpha_{i}} d \alpha_{i} \quad i=1,2 ; \alpha_{i}=\tau, \sigma
$$

so try

$$
d A=\sqrt{\left(d v_{1}^{\mu} d v_{1_{\mu}}\right)\left(d v_{2}^{\mu} d v_{2_{\mu}}\right)} \sin \theta=\sqrt{\left(d v_{1} \cdot d v_{1}\right)\left(d v_{2} \cdot d v_{2}\right)-\left(d v_{1} \cdot d v_{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

Close, but turns out to be imaginary for points on the string world-sheet (reorder the difference).

## Bosonic String Basics

Where the action's at...
How should one describe the physics of strings?
Using the expressions for the spanning set, find

$$
S_{s}=-\frac{1}{2 \pi \alpha^{\prime} \hbar c^{2}} \int d \tau d \sigma \sqrt{\left(\dot{X} \cdot X^{\prime}\right)^{2}-(\dot{X} \cdot \dot{X})\left(X^{\prime} \cdot X^{\prime}\right)}
$$

where the proportionality constant is constructed to give the correct units--bit more on this later.

Conventionally, this action is written in cleaner ("manifestly reparameterization invariant") form:

$$
S_{N G}=-\frac{1}{2 \pi \alpha^{\prime}} \int d \tau d \sigma \sqrt{-\operatorname{det}\left(\gamma_{i j}\right)}
$$

Box is famed Nambu-Goto string action (natural units) written in terms of the induced metric on the worldsheet $\gamma_{i j}$, defined in the standard way...

$$
-d s^{2}=\eta_{\mu \nu} d X^{\mu} d X^{\nu}=\gamma_{i j} d \xi^{i} d \xi^{j} \quad \text { where } \quad \xi^{1}=\tau, \xi^{2}=\sigma
$$

## Bosonic String Basics

Where the action's at...
How should one describe the physics of strings?
Nambu-Goto action is intuitive, but hard to work with! In analogy to point particle, try to find classically equivalent action without derivatives in square root:

As before, add a metric $h_{\alpha \beta}(\tau, \sigma)$ on the world sheet, consider desirable symmetries, recoup NG equations of motion...

## Symmetry Wish List

I. Poincare invariance in arbitrary-dimensional spacetime

$$
X^{\mu}=\Lambda^{\mu}{ }_{\nu} X^{\nu}+b^{\mu} \quad \delta h_{\alpha \beta}=0
$$

II. Diffeomorphism (reparametrization) invariance

$$
X^{\prime \mu}=X^{\mu} \quad h_{\alpha \beta}=\frac{\partial \xi^{\prime \gamma}}{\partial \xi^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial \xi^{\prime \delta}}{\xi^{\beta}} h_{\gamma \delta}^{\prime}
$$

III. Weyl invariance (world-sheet metric rescaling)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta X^{\mu}=0 \quad h_{\alpha \beta} \rightarrow \Omega^{2}(\tau, \sigma) h_{\alpha, \beta} \\
S_{p}=-\frac{1}{4 \pi \alpha^{\prime}} \int d \tau d \sigma \sqrt{-\operatorname{det}\left(h_{\alpha \beta}\right)} h^{\alpha \beta} \partial_{\alpha} X^{\mu} \partial_{\beta} X^{\nu} \eta_{\mu \nu}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Bosonic String Basics

Where the action's at...
How should one describe the physics of strings?
$S_{P}$ is Polyakov Action. Classically equivalent to $S_{N G}$, symmetry in all the right places, no square root over field derivatives (easier to quantize!)

In practice, exploit symmetries by fixing gauge:

For topologically flat surfaces (no defects, etc.) convenient choice is conformal gauge...

$$
h_{\alpha \beta}=\omega^{2}(\tau, \sigma) \eta_{\alpha \beta}
$$



Drawing a vertical line along the strip breaks translational symmetry. The line (akin to a gauge choice) keeps track of e.g. shear stresses but does not effect physical quantities. Idea from [3].
where $\omega(\tau, \sigma)$ is conformal factor, $\eta_{\alpha \beta}$ is flat-space Minkowski metric (,-+ ) in $\mathrm{D}=2$. Then Polyakov action becomes

$$
S_{p}=-\frac{1}{4 \pi \alpha^{\prime}} \int d \tau d \sigma \eta^{\alpha \beta} \partial_{\alpha} X^{\mu} \partial_{\beta} X_{\mu}
$$

## Bosonic String Basics

 Where the action's at...
## Some simple results from the string action:

Using the Nambu-Goto action, it is easy to study a relativistic stretched string. In static gauge, embedding function becomes

$$
X^{\mu}(\tau, \sigma)=(c \tau, f(\sigma), 0, \ldots, 0)
$$

and (with little algebra) action can be written


$$
S_{N G}=-\frac{1}{2 \pi \alpha^{\prime} \hbar c} \int_{t} d t \Delta f(\sigma) \quad \text { where } \quad \Delta f(\sigma)=f\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)-f(0)=l
$$

Static strings have no kinetic energy, integrand (Lagrangian) is just opposite of potential energy, i.e.

$$
U=\frac{1}{2 \pi \alpha^{\prime} \hbar c} l=T \cdot l \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { Energy per length is a force, just the } \\
& \text { string tension! }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Bosonic String Basics

Where the action's at...
Some simple results from the string action:
Now a relationship between constants in the action and the string tension:

$$
\alpha^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2 \pi T \hbar c}
$$

With new dimensionful parameter, easy to create a characteristic string length from fundamental constants...

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad[L]^{2}=\frac{[E][T][L]}{[F][T]} \text { so } l_{s}=\sqrt{\frac{\hbar c}{T}} \\
& \text { or better yet, } \\
& \qquad l_{s}=\hbar c \sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Bosonic String Basics

Where the action's at...

## Some simple results from the string action:

Using the Polyakov action in the conformal gauge, easy to obtain the string equations of motion. From variation of fields,

$$
-\eta^{\alpha \beta} \partial_{\alpha} \partial_{\beta} X^{\mu}=\ddot{X}^{\mu}-X^{\prime \prime \mu}=0 \quad \text { (wave equation!) }
$$

and from the variation of the metric, two constraints:

$$
\dot{X}^{\mu} \cdot X_{\mu}^{\prime}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \dot{X}^{2}+X^{\prime 2}=0
$$

As always, a boundary term must vanish as well. If string parametrized like $\sigma \in[0, \pi]$ term is

$$
-\left.\frac{1}{2 \pi \alpha^{\prime}} \int d \tau \delta X^{\mu}\left(X_{\mu}^{\prime}\right)\right|_{\sigma=0} ^{\sigma=\pi} \quad \text { so possibilities are... }
$$




Neumann condition


Dirichlet condition

## Bosonic String Basics

## Quantization Highlights

String theories are strange theories!
Already know we should start from Polyakov action, as fast track to physics break covariance and quantize in conformal + light-cone gauge (Fully specified, no negative norms).


Light cone coordinates are defined by axes that describe propagation of light:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{+}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(x^{0}+x^{1}\right) \\
& x^{-}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(x^{0}-x^{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

transverse coordinates remain unchanged. Covariance sacrificed because we 'played favorites' with $x^{0}$ and $x^{1}$. Flat Minkowski metric is now

$$
\eta_{\mu \nu}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & -1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Bosonic String Basics

## Quantization Highlights

String theories are strange theories!
Seek a 2 dimensional quantum theory on the surface of the string world-sheet. Action for transverse light-cone coordinates is

$$
S=\frac{1}{4 \pi \alpha^{\prime}} \int d \tau d \sigma\left(\dot{X}_{\perp} \dot{X_{\perp}}-X_{\perp}^{\prime} X_{\perp}^{\prime}\right)
$$

variation clearly returns wave equation as before. For Neumann strings, general solution is

$$
X^{\perp}(\tau, \sigma)=q^{\perp}(\tau)+2 \sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_{n}^{\perp}(\tau) \frac{\cos n \sigma}{\sqrt{n}}
$$

inserting above into the action and integrating over $\sigma$ (using orthogonality) leaves

$$
S=\int d \tau\left[\frac{1}{4 \alpha^{\prime}} \dot{q}^{\perp}(\tau) \dot{q}^{\perp}(\tau)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{2 n} \dot{q}_{n}^{\perp}(\tau) \dot{q}_{n}^{\perp}(\tau)-\frac{n}{2} q_{n}^{\perp}(\tau) q_{n}^{\perp}(\tau)\right)\right]
$$

Which is just the action for a collection of oscillators! (see, e.g. [4]).

## Bosonic String Basics

## Quantization Highlights

String theories are strange theories!
With oscillator description, once again on familiar territory. Can now write mode expansion for light-cone coordinates in terms of creation and annihilation operators, learn about state space.

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{\perp}(\tau, \sigma)=x_{0}^{\perp}+2 \alpha^{\prime} p^{\perp} \tau+i \sqrt{2 \alpha^{\prime}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(a_{n}^{\perp} e^{-i n \tau}-a_{n}^{\perp \dagger} e^{i n \tau}\right) \frac{\cos n \sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) $\quad X^{-}(\tau, \sigma)=x_{0}^{-}+\frac{1}{p^{+}} L_{0}^{\perp} \tau+\frac{i}{p^{+}} \sum_{n \neq 0} L_{n}^{\perp} e^{-i n \tau} \frac{\cos n \sigma}{n}$
(iii) $X^{+}(\tau, \sigma)=2 \alpha^{\prime} p^{+} \tau$

The $L_{n}^{\perp}$ that enter (ii) are the transverse Virasoro operators, which have an algebra

$$
\left[L_{m}^{\perp}, L_{n}^{\perp}\right]=(m-n) L_{m+n}^{\perp}+\frac{D-2}{12}\left(m^{3}-m\right) \delta_{m+n, 0}
$$

## Bosonic String Basics

## Quantization Highlights

String theories are strange theories!
Turns out that in definition of transverse Virasoro operators, there is an ordering issue for 0 mode operator. Expanding gives

\[

\]

Problem: 0 mode operator enters mass-squared operator, but looks like ordering constant diverges!

$$
M^{2}=-\eta_{\mu \nu} p^{\mu} p^{\nu}=\frac{1}{\alpha^{\prime}}\left(L_{0}^{\perp}+a\right)-p^{\perp} p^{\perp} \quad \text { where } \quad a=\frac{1}{2}(D-2) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k
$$

Not over yet--in maybe strangest analytic continuation ever concocted...

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{-1}}=\zeta(-1)=-\frac{1}{12}
$$

can do this other ways, too, still get

$$
a=-\frac{1}{24}(D-2)
$$

## Bosonic String Basics

## Quantization Highlights

String theories are strange theories!
Enforcing Lorentz invariance in a theory of strings fixes the number of space time dimensions! Turns out one can only construct valid quantum Lorentz generators if...

$$
D=26 \text { and accordingly } a=-1 \quad \text { (critical conditions) }
$$

could say that string theory predicts dimensionality of space it describes! Can do similar thing in superstring theory (with fermions), get famous critical dimension $D=10$.

Now easy to write down the open string spectrum, defining a (normal ordered) transverse number operator

$$
N^{\perp} \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_{n}^{\perp \dagger} a_{n}^{\perp}=L_{0}^{\perp}-\alpha^{\prime} p^{\perp} p^{\perp}
$$

as obvious, simply counts total mode number of creation operators on given basis state e.g.

$$
N^{\perp} a_{4}^{\perp \dagger}|0\rangle=\left[N^{\perp}, a_{4}^{\perp \dagger}\right]|0\rangle+a_{4}^{\perp \dagger} N^{\perp}|0\rangle=4 a_{4}^{\perp \dagger}|0\rangle
$$

## Bosonic String Basics

## Quantization Highlights

String theories are strange theories!
Hit states with mass squared operator to construct spectrum:

$$
M^{2}=\frac{1}{l_{s}^{2}}\left(N^{\perp}-1\right) \quad \text { on } \quad\left|N^{\perp}\right\rangle=\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \prod_{T=2}^{25}\left(a_{n}^{T \dagger}\right)_{\substack{\text { number of times each } \\ \text { creation operator acts }}}^{N_{n, T}|0\rangle}
$$

```
An infinite number of states!
```

$N^{\perp}=2 \ldots . . .$.

$N^{\perp}=0$ Inumunumumunumumum $M^{2}=-\frac{1}{\alpha^{\prime}} \quad$ Tachyon (1 state)
[String Family Tree]

## Bosonic String Basics

## Untangling String Interactions

The description...
Parameter space string world-sheets provide natural canvas for describing interacting strings. Choose a gauge where parameter space coordinates have convenient physical interpretations:

$$
X^{+}=\tau \quad \text { and } \quad \sigma \in\left[0,2 \pi \alpha^{\prime} p^{+}\right]_{\text {world-sheet 'time' }}
$$

Now string length in parameter space is useful...
[String Decay]


## Bosonic String Basics

 Untangling String Interactions
## ...And a beautiful connection

Question: How do we describe the physics of these (Weyl invariant) surfaces?
Answer: Wick rotate $h_{\alpha \beta}$ and identify the world-sheet with a Riemann surface!

Motivated by fact that Riemann surfaces are unchanged under conformal maps, world-sheets unchanged under Weyl transforms. Both locally scale distances.

Suggests string interactions described by conformally invariant field theory in 2D parameter space.

Instructions for computing string-string cross sections:


## Bosonic String Basics

Untangling String Interactions

## ...And a beautiful connection

Map open strings to upper-half complex plane, plus point at infinity ( $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ ). Looks hard, but Schwarz-Christoffel save the day:

Consider world-sheets as degenerate polygons. Then write differential equation for function that maps points in $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ to the polygon. Vertices go to real
 line...

$$
\frac{d w}{d z}=A\left(z-x_{1}\right)^{-\frac{\theta_{1}}{\pi}}\left(z-x_{2}\right)^{-\frac{\theta_{2}}{\pi}} \ldots\left(z-x_{n-1}\right)^{-\frac{\theta_{n-1}}{\pi}}
$$

Where $z \in \overline{\mathbb{H}}$ and the " $x$ " lie on the real line. For four string interaction above, map like $z\left(P_{i}\right)=0, \lambda, 1, \infty$ and $z\left(I_{i}\right)=x_{1}, x_{2}$ so

$$
\frac{d w}{d z}=A \frac{1}{z}\left(z-x_{1}\right) \frac{1}{z-\lambda}\left(z-x_{2}\right) \frac{1}{z-1}
$$

Note constraint on sum of angles allows omission of point at infinity.

## Bosonic String Basics

Untangling String Interactions
...And a beautiful connection
We have an equation, but four unknowns. Use string "Feynman Rule" to produce additional constraints:
~ For each string whose turning point is not mapped to infinity, add logarithm scaled by $\mp 2 \alpha^{\prime} p^{+}$for $\sigma$ that increase (decrease) over turning points [4].
for 4-string interaction,

$$
w(z)=-2 \alpha^{\prime} p_{1}^{+} \ln z-2 \alpha^{\prime} p_{2}^{+} \ln (z-\lambda)+2 \alpha^{\prime} p_{3}^{+} \ln (z-1)
$$

matching terms between above and previous formulations of differential equation, and using interaction time constraint fully determines all unknown parameters in mapping function. Mapped diagram looks like


## Bosonic String Basics

## Untangling String Interactions

## ...And a beautiful connection

A very important result: Using previous equations and constraints, can show

i.e. $\lambda$ decreases monotonically on $(0,1)$ over all times. For Riemann surface with four ordered punctures, moduli space is $(0,1)$--different $\lambda \in(0,1)$, (conformally) different surface--string diagrams produce moduli space of relevant Riemann surfaces!

Suggests intuitive method of handling string interaction diagrams. In QFT, sum all relevant diagrams; in ST, sum over all moduli?


## Bosonic String Basics

## Untangling String Interactions

## ...And a beautiful connection

Now right back where we started--for four open string tachyon scattering, Veneziano amplitude (with strings since 1960's) does the trick:

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\nu}=g_{2}^{2} \int_{0}^{1} d \lambda \lambda^{2 \alpha^{\prime}\left(k_{1} \cdot k_{2}\right)}(1-\lambda)^{2 \alpha^{\prime}\left(k_{2} \cdot k_{3}\right)}=g_{s}^{2} \frac{\Gamma\left(-\alpha^{\prime} s-1\right) \Gamma\left(-\alpha^{\prime} t-1\right)}{\Gamma\left(-\alpha^{\prime} s-\alpha^{\prime} t\right)}
$$

can obtain this amplitude (and others) in Polyakov path integral formalism with help from vertex operators [2]. Probe interaction structure by investigating amplitude poles...

Gamma function facts: No zeros, analytic continuation gives poles at $\alpha^{\prime} s+1=n=0,1,2, \ldots$ since poles at signal existence of intermediate particle with squared mass equal to $s$,

$$
s=M^{2}=\frac{1}{\alpha^{\prime}}(n-1)
$$

same spectrum as obtained for a quantized theory of relativistic strings!

## Bosonic String Basics

## Strings in the $21^{\text {st }}$ Century

## Heyyyyy Maldecena!

Many avenues for research in contemporary string theory. Here, one slide on my favorite...

In 1997 Juan Maldecena proposes an equivalence between conformal field theories (like $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM) and a 10 dimensional string theory (AdS/CFT correspondence). Exploiting the duality allows one to calculate in (e.g.) strongly coupled regimes.

Measurements from the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider suggest that the produced matter in $\sqrt{S_{N N}}=200$ GeV AutAu collisions is a strongly coupled "plasma" of quarks and gluons (QGP):
> D. Son et. al. [5] show that there is a (universal) lower bound on the ratio of viscosity to entropy density for "strongly coupled" systems. RHIC data suggests QGP may be most perfect fluid ever created!
S. Gubser [6] computes drag force on a quark traversing a super Yang-Mills thermal plasma. Calculation provides string theory analog of jet quenching phenomenon observed in heavy ion collisions.
K. Rajagopal et. al. [7] study quarkonia propagation in a strongly coupled plasma. Also predict a value for the stopping power of the produced matter, not unreasonable!

QCD is not a CFT--can this duality produce meaningful results?

## Bosonic String Basics

## Conclusions, Comments...Concerns?

Where we've been, where we're going

- String theories have been around 40 years--initially formulated as description of strong interactions, now a description of all interactions.
- A logical set of symmetries leads to an action full of surprises.
- Scattering amplitudes in string theory are supported by an elegant mathematical framework.
- Curious gauge/string duals raise questions about what it means to be strongly coupled, toy model makes interesting predictions for hot QCD matter.
- Characteristic string length is very small and to date no testable predictions formulated. Yet as John Schwarz has said, the theory does predict gravity!
- Although sophistication of these slides is modest, understanding material presented here greatly increases accessibility of string literature.
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